# ADDRESSING ALCOHOL HARM IN ADOLESCENTS

Technical Report 2: Māori analysis. Methods and data tables

November 2022







#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors would like to acknowledge the advisory group members who helped to guide this project. We would also like to thank the project's funders: nib foundation and the Health Promotion Agency/Te Hiringa Hauora. Finally, we would like to thank the students and schools that participated in the Youth 2000 surveys.

Suggested citation: Ball, J., Zhang, J., Roberts, A., Jackson, N., Kim, A., Sydney, M., Sullivan, G. Crengle, S., (2022) Addressing Alcohol Harm in Adolescents. Technical Report 2: Māori analysis. Methods and data tables. Wellington: University of Otago & Adolescent Health Research Group

## Addressing Alcohol Harm in Adolescents

Technical report 2: Māori analysis. Methods and data tables.

## Contents

Introduction	4
About the project	4
About this report	4
Why does adolescent drinking matter?	4
The context for alcohol use among rangatahi Māori	5
Methods	6
Data Tables	11
Trends in alcohol use	11
Drinking patterns among Māori, 2019	12
Alcohol harm	14
Risk and protective factors	17
Appendix A: Derivation of variables, including survey question wording and response options	21
Appendix B: Flow diagram for 'Risk of alcohol harm' categorisation	29
References	32

## Introduction

#### About the project

'Addressing alcohol harm in adolescents' is a research and advocacy project, undertaken as partnership between Alcohol Healthwatch and the Adolescent Health Research Group (the team behind the Youth2000 survey series). The project began in January 2022, funded by a nib foundation Health Smart Grant. Additional funding from the Health Promotion Agency/Te Hiringa Hauora (which has since become part of Te Whatu Ora – Health New Zealand) was received in June 2022, enabling us to expand the scope of the project. The project's goals are:

- 1. Add to the evidence base about adolescent drinking and alcohol-related harm in Aotearoa New Zealand
- 2. Inform evidence-based policy and community-level action to reduce hazardous drinking and eliminate disparities in alcohol harm among young people
- 3. Build community health literacy around alcohol harm and how to reduce it effectively.

**Phase 1**. The first phase of the project investigated patterns of alcohol use and self-reported alcohol harm in secondary students overall. The findings were released in September 2022.

**Phase 2**. The second phase of the project focuses on three priority populations at greater risk of alcohol harm during adolescence: Māori, Pacific and Rainbow youth. This report and the associated factsheet are the first outputs from phase two.

**Webinars and factsheets**. The project outputs include a series of seven factsheets and four webinars (Sept 2022 – Feb 2023) to disseminate the key findings and promote evidence-based policy and community action to address adolescent alcohol harm.

All of the project outputs, including webinar recordings, will be published on the Youth19 website (<u>www.youth19.ac.nz</u>) and the Alcohol Healthwatch website (<u>www.ahw.org.nz</u>).

#### About this report

This report presents findings about adolescent alcohol use and experience of alcohol harm among Māori secondary school students, and the methods used to reach those findings. There is an associated factsheet and webinar, which present key findings for a general audience.

This report updates previous findings about alcohol use in rangatahi Māori.<sup>1-3</sup> The analysis builds on previous research by using measures of whanaungatanga and cultural connectedness developed by Māori researchers.<sup>4</sup>

#### Why does adolescent drinking matter?

Alcohol is a significant contributor to health loss and health inequity in Aotearoa New Zealand, and hazardous drinking often begins in adolescence. Although many young people do not drink alcohol at all, those who do drink are particularly vulnerable to alcohol harms.<sup>5</sup> For a number of reasons, young people experience more harm per drink than older age groups.<sup>6</sup> Drinking alcohol at a young age can cause serious short and long term harms, such as injuries, depression, suicidality, unwanted sex, and having performance at school affected.<sup>57</sup> Some alcohol-related harms, such as negative

impacts on brain development, are irreversible.<sup>8</sup> There is evidence that alcohol intoxication at a young age puts people at greater risk of substance use disorders and mental health problems in adulthood.<sup>9</sup> Therefore preventing alcohol harm among rangatahi is important.

#### The context for alcohol use among rangatahi Māori

To prevent alcohol harm among rangatahi Māori, it is essential to understand the historical and current factors that influence alcohol use. Intergenerational experiences of colonisation, discrimination, and inequity provide the context for alcohol use among rangatahi Māori.<sup>121011</sup> Before contact with Pākehā, Māori did not drink alcoholic beverages.<sup>12</sup> Adolescent alcohol use is often a symptom of broader social issues, which can affect young people directly or via impacts on whānau wellbeing.<sup>2</sup> Heavy alcohol use in adolescence can be a result of childhood trauma.<sup>13</sup>

The neighbourhood environment is a strong determinant of adolescent alcohol use and harm. In Aotearoa New Zealand, socioeconomically deprived neighbourhoods have more places that sell alcohol than less deprived neighbourhoods.<sup>14</sup> The higher proportion of Māori experiencing deprivation,<sup>15</sup> coupled with ineffective laws to limit the number of alcohol outlets, results in Māori often living in areas that are over-saturated with places that sell alcohol. Also, research using wearable cameras found tamariki Māori were exposed to alcohol marketing five times more often than NZ European children, e.g. via sports sponsorship, shop-front signage and merchandise.<sup>16</sup> The wide accessibility and marketing of alcohol normalises alcohol use and plays a major role in alcohol harm inequities.

## Methods

The findings in this report are based on data from the 2007, 2012 and 2019 waves of the Youth 2000 survey series (also known as Youth07, Youth12 and Youth19). More detailed information about the methods for these surveys is available elsewhere<sup>17-20</sup> and is summarised briefly below.

#### Ethics

Each survey wave was approved by the University of Auckland Human Participants Ethics Committee, Reference Numbers 2005/414 (2007), 2011/206 (2012) and 2018/023450 (2019).

#### Sampling methods

The sampling frame was secondary school students. All waves used a two-stage clustered sampling design with randomly selected schools and, within these, randomly selected students. In 2001, 2007 and 2012, one-third of NZ's secondary schools were selected and in each participating school of >150 students, 20% of the roll was invited to participate. In schools with fewer students, 30 students were randomly selected. The last wave (2019) sampled schools from three regions (Auckland, Tai Tokerau and Waikato), an area that includes 47% of NZ's secondary school population. In each region 50% of schools were randomly sampled and 30% of students on their roll were invited to participate. In 2019, all Kura Kaupapa Māori (Māori immersion schools) from the three regions were also invited, with all Kura students asked to participate. In all waves, in participating schools, parents and caregivers were given information about the survey and could opt for their child to be excluded. Non-excluded students were randomly selected from school rolls and gave their own written consent at the start of the survey. Participation was anonymous.

#### **Response rates**

School response rates were 84% (2007), 73% (2012) and 57% (2019). Student response rates were 74%, 68% and 60% respectively. The number of participating schools and students in each wave is provided in Table 1, along with participant characteristics.

#### Survey design and administration

The self-report questionnaires were delivered via digital devices using M-CASI technology (text on screen and read aloud with headphones for privacy in English or Māori) during school time. The branching questionnaire design minimised exposure to irrelevant questions. The questionnaires covered demographics, identity, and key health and wellbeing indicators. (The full Youth19 questionnaire is available here: <u>https://bit.ly/3MGdD39</u>). The survey items used in the current research project are detailed in Appendix 1. Unless otherwise stated, the wording of survey questions and response options has been consistent over the three survey waves (2007, 2012, 2019) enabling comparison over time.

#### Geocoding

While the survey was being administered, a research assistant asked each student to enter the address of the place they usually live into a custom web app that resolved and saved their census meshblock number without storing their specific address. Each student's meshblock was stored in a database against their unique survey 'login' and later coupled with their survey responses. Meshblock data was used to determine NZ Deprivation Index (NZDep2018) decile, and urban/small town/rural designation.

	2007		2012		2019	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Total schools	96	-	91	-	49	-
Total students	9,098	100.0	8,487	100.0	7,721	100.0
Gender <sup>#</sup>						
Female	4,187	46.0	4,618	54.4	4,179	54.6
Male	4,911	54.0	3,869	45.6	3,472	45.4
Age						
13 years or under	1,859	20.4	1,838	21.7	1,402	18.2
14	2,100	23.1	1,895	22.3	1,745	22.6
15	1,973	21.7	1,755	20.7	1,698	22.0
16	1,743	19.2	1,578	18.6	1,474	19.1
17 years or over	1,423	15.6	1,421	16.7	1,401	18.2
Ethnicity*						
Māori	1,702	18.7	1,697	20.0	1,528	19.8
Pacific	924	10.2	1,200	14.1	945	12.3
Asian	1,126	12.4	1,049	12.4	1,776	23.0
Other**	549	6.0	523	6.2	389	5.1
Pākehā & other European	4,797	52.7	4,018	47.3	3,070	39.8

#### Table 1: Survey respondent numbers and characteristics, unweighted

# 2019 was the first survey in which students were able to report a gender other than male or female. A total of 63 students did not report a male or female gender in 2019.

\* Ethnicity is categorised using the NZ census ethnicity prioritisation method.

\*\* 'Other' ethnicity includes Middle Eastern, Latin American, African, and other ethnicities and unknown ethnicity.

#### Measures

Indicators of alcohol use were i) lifetime use of alcohol (i.e. ever/never had more than a few sips), ii) current use of alcohol (i.e. students who continued to drink at the time of the survey), iii) frequency of alcohol use, iv) prevalence and frequency of binge drinking (5+ drinks/session) in the past month, v) quantity consumed on a typical drinking occasion, vi) sources of alcohol, and vii) experiences of alcohol harm. The survey questions and details about derivation of measures are provided in Appendix 1.

The study explored potential risk and protective factors in the home, school and neighbourhood environment, and tested their association with high-risk drinking. Potential protective factors included composite measures of cultural connectedness (10 items) and whanaungatanga (whanaungatanga with whānau scale – 9 items; whanaungatanga with adults outside whanau – 9 items), developed by Māori researchers for the Youth19 survey.<sup>4</sup> Details about these measures, and other risk and protective factors and demographic variables are provided in Appendix 1.

#### Weighting and national estimates

Analysis was conducted using the 'survey' package in R (R Statistical Foundation). Data were initially weighted using inverse probability of selection (IPS) weights [calculated for each student as: (total number of schools ÷ schools that participated) × (total number of eligible students in the student's school ÷ students from that school that participated)]. Generalised raking was used to correct for non-response and to calibrate the results of each survey wave to the national secondary school population in terms of school decile, student age, gender, and ethnicity. Further details about weighting and calibration are available elsewhere.<sup>18</sup> All of the findings presented in this report are national estimates.

#### Analysis

For trend analyses (comparing outcomes for 2007, 2012 and 2019) to ensure comparability with previous years, the 2019 data excluded Kura Kaupapa Māori, and findings were calibrated to the national secondary school population for each survey year (see detail on weighting above). We calculated percentages and 95% confidence intervals for the estimated national prevalence of each outcome in each survey year, for Māori and non-Māori (see Table 1 and Table 2).

To explore drinking patterns in rangatahi Māori in 2019, we used the full data set including Kura Kaupapa Māori.

Data on alcohol harm was not collected in the 2019 survey. To investigate self-reported alcohol harm, we used the 2012 survey's 9-items about alcohol harm (e.g. got injured, had unprotected sex, had unwanted sex, performance at school affected etc) – see Appendix 1 for details. We calculated the proportion of current drinkers who reported experiencing each type of harm, by ethnicity (Māori/non-Māori) and, among Māori, by gender.

We then derived a total harm score (range 0-27) for current drinkers. For each harm indicator, a score of 1 was given if the harm was experienced more than a year ago, 2 if the harm had been experienced once or twice in the past year, and 3 if it had been experienced 3 or more times the past year. This aggregate measure enabled us to investigate differences in average alcohol harm score by ethnicity. We used multiple regression technique to explore mediators of the ethnic difference in alcohol harm. We modelled the ethnic difference in alcohol harm, then added age and sex to the model to estimate baseline differences adjusting for these potential confounders. We then included neighbourhood deprivation (NZ Dep), and alcohol use variables (frequency of alcohol use, amount of alcohol typically consumed, frequency of past month binge drinking), separately, then together to test the extent to which these variables mediated the ethnic differences in self-reported alcohol harm.

In the absence of self-reported alcohol harm data for 2019, we categorised participants into four 'risk of alcohol harm' categories, based on self-reported patterns of alcohol use in 2019. The categories were: non-drinker, small risk, high risk, very high risk. The term 'small risk' was used to differentiate from official 'lower risk drinking guidelines' and reflects the fact that no level of alcohol

use is completely free from risk. Appendix B provides a flow chart of the categorisation process. Non-drinkers were defined as those who had never drunk alcohol (more than a few sips) or who reported that they did not drink any more. The criteria for the remaining groups are detailed in Table 2 and Appendix B.

Criteria were based on expert input from advisory group members and 2012 findings about the relationship between drinking patterns and harm in secondary school students (see Technical Report 1).<sup>21</sup> For example, we found no difference in average harm score between those who reported no alcohol use in the last month and those who reported alcohol use on one occasion. Therefore, once a month or less was considered a low-risk frequency. For typical quantity consumed and frequency of binge drinking, criteria differed for those aged under 16 and those aged 16 and over, reflecting the fact that younger people experienced greater harm at the same level of consumption in 2012.<sup>21</sup>

	Small risk	Small risk of harm		c of harm	Very high risk of harm	
	<16 yrs	16+ yrs	<16 yrs	16+ yrs	<16 yrs	16+ yrs
Frequency	Once in last 4	Once in last 4	2-3 times a	2-3 times a	Once a week	Several times
	weeks or less	weeks or less	month	month to once	or more often	a week/most
				a week		days
Typical quantity	1 drink	1-2 drinks	2-4 drinks	3-9 drinks	5+ drinks	10+ drinks
Binge drinking	None	None	Once	1-3 times	More than	Weekly or
in past 4 weeks					once	more often

#### Table 2: Criteria for categorisation into risk of alcohol harm groups.

As detailed in the flow chart in Appendix B, current drinkers were categorised as 'very high risk' if they met at least one criterion for that category. The remaining drinkers were then assessed for the 'high risk' category. Those who did not meet any of the 'high risk' criteria were classified as 'small risk'.

We used logistic regression to investigate the relationship between high-risk/very-high-risk drinking (grouped) and selected risk and protective factors in 2019. Non-drinkers/small risk (grouped) were the reference group. All models were adjusted for age, gender and NZ Deprivation Index band (low, med, high), and results were expressed as Odds Ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals.

#### Limitations

Limitations must be borne in mind when interpreting these findings. Among students, those absent from school or choosing not to participate may have higher levels of alcohol use than those who took part in the survey, leading to underestimates of alcohol use. Furthermore, rangatahi attending secondary school are at lower risk of alcohol harm than rangatahi outside the school setting, e.g. attending alternative schools, or NEET (not in employment, education, or training).<sup>22</sup> Māori are more likely to leave school early,<sup>23</sup> therefore our school-based findings almost certainly underestimate alcohol use and related harm in 13-18 year old Māori overall. Findings about alcohol use and alcohol harm in secondary school students is not generalisable to adolescents who have left or been excluded from school. Further research is needed to better understand drinking patterns and alcohol harm in adolescents outside the school setting.

School and student response rates have decreased over time, increasing the possibility of selection bias.

The 2019 survey was regional, rather than national. National estimates were calculated for all survey waves to allow comparison over time, but comparisons between 2019 and earlier waves could be biased by regional differences over and above demographic differences (e.g. differences in drinking culture).

It is important to note that associations between risk and protective factors and alcohol outcomes may or may not be causal – causality cannot be determined in cross-sectional surveys of this nature.

The 2019 findings are the most recent available from the Youth2000 series on alcohol use, yet because of major social changes since 2019 (including changes associated with the Covid19 pandemic), drinking patterns may have changed since 2019. Indeed, recently published findings from the 'What about me' survey<sup>24</sup> and the New Zealand Health Survey<sup>25</sup> indicate that hazardous drinking among adolescents may have risen sharply since 2019.

Data on experience of alcohol harm was not collected in the 2019 survey, so the alcohol harm findings presented here are the most recent available (2012), but somewhat dated. A further limitation of the alcohol harm findings is that they are based on self-report and focus on immediate and tangible consequences of drinking. There are other important harms associated with alcohol use that may be imperceptible to young people and not picked up in the study, e.g. there is evidence that adolescent alcohol use can contribute to depression and suicidality and put people at greater risk of developing alcohol dependence in adulthood.<sup>926</sup> Such 'invisible' impacts of alcohol use are not identified in this study.

## Data Tables

#### Trends in alcohol use

As shown in Tables 3 and 4, prevalence of every alcohol indicator declined substantially between 2007 and 2019, with most of the decline concentrated in the 2007-2012 period. For most indicators, declines have been similar (in absolute terms) between Māori and non-Māori. For every indicator except 'drinking weekly or more often', prevalence was higher among Māori than non-Māori in 2019. Ethnic differences were greatest for 'Drinking 10+ drinks on a typical occasion' with Māori substantially more likely to report very heavy drinking than non-Māori.

	2007		2012		2019	
	n(N)	Weighted % (95% CI)	n(N)	Weighted % (95% CI)	n(N)	Weighted % (95% CI)
Ever drinking	1290	84.9	1138	73.1	673	66.5
	(1522)	(82.4 – 87.4)	(1605)	(70.6 – 75.6)	(1060)	(62.9-70.0)
Current drinking	1107	73.7	905	59.2	565	56.7
	(1509)	(70.7-76.8)	(1597)	(56.6-61.9)	(1057)	(53.0-60.5)
Past month	888	59.4	611	41.0	387	39.4
drinking	(1509)	(55.7-63.1)	(1597)	(38.4-43.6)	(1057)	(35.6-43.1)
Drinking weekly or	398	26.3	185	12.7	103	10.1
more often	(1509)	(24.5-28.1)	(1597)	(10.7-14.7)	(1057)	(7.8-12.3)
Drinking 10+ drinks	334	22.3	228	15.9	138	14.0
on a typical	(1500)	(20.0-24.7)	(1580)	(13.8-18.0)	(1046)	(10.7-17.3)
occasion over						
population						
Drinking 10+ drinks	334	30.3	228	27.0	138	24.9
on a typical	(1098)	(27.8-32.8)	(888)	(23.7-20.2)	(554)	(20.0-29.8)
occasion in current						
drinkers						
Past month binge	756	51.9	515	34.8	291	28.4
drinking in	(1488)	(48.5-55.2)	(1587)	(32.1-37.5)	(1032)	(25.3-31.6)
population						
Past month binge	756	70.6	515	59.0	291	51.0
drinking in current drinkers	(1107)	(68.1-73.2)	(905)	(55.6-62.5)	(1046)	(46.9-55.1)

#### Table 3: Māori, Prevalence of alcohol use indicators, 2007 - 2019

#### Table 4: Non-Māori, prevalence of alcohol indicators, 2007 - 2019

	2007		2012		2019	
	n(N)	Weighted %	n(N)	Weighted %	n(N)	Weighted % (95% CI)
		(95% CI)		(95% CI)		,
Ever drinking	4648	71.2	3524	58.3	2605	52.0
	(6773)	(69.4-	(6577)	(56.5-60.2)	(5781)	(50.2-53.9)
		73.1)				

Current drinking	3897	61.0	2791	47.4 (45.7-	2158	44.8
	(6761)	(59.3-	(6566)	49.1)	(5769)	(42.9-46.7)
		62.8)				
Past month drinking	2934	46.3	1944	34.2	1548	32.6
	(6761)	(44.7-	(6566)	(32.4-36.0)	(5769)	(30.7-34.5)
		47.9)				
Drinking weekly or	1074	17.1	494	8.8	364	8.9
more often	(6761)	(16.0-	(6566)	(7.8-9.7)	(5769)	(7.2-10.6)
		18.1)				
Drinking 10+ drinks on	537	8.2	300	5.2	200	4.2
a typical occasion in	(6730)	(7.4-9.0)	(6544)	(4.4-6.0)	(5734)	(3.6-4.9)
population						
Drinking 10+ drinks on	537	13.5	300	10.9	200	9.5
a typical occasion in	(3866)	(12.3-	(2769)	(9.4-12.4)	(2123)	(8.3-10.8)
current drinkers		14.7)				
Past month binge	2063	32.9	1323	23.1	928	20.1
drinking in population	(6727)	(31.4-	(6553)	(21.5-24.6)	(5737)	(18.3-21.9)
		34.4)				
Past month binge	2063	54.1	1323	48.7	928	45.3
drinking in current	(3863)	(52.3-	(2778)	(46.5-51.0)	(2126)	(42.5-48.1)
drinkers		55.8)				

#### Drinking patterns among Māori, 2019

For many rangatahi Māori, alcohol use starts young, with 40% of those aged 13 or younger reporting ever drinking alcohol in 2019 (Table 5). Past month binge drinking was equally common in boys and girls (28-29%), but boys were more likely to report very heavy drinking (10+ drinks) (Tables 6 and 7). Parents and friends were the most common sources of alcohol (Table 8).

Table 5: Ever drunk alcohol by age, Māori secondary school students, 2019
---

	n(N)	Weighted %
		(95% CI)
13 or under	107	39.7
	(266)	(34.4-45.1)
14	177	55.0
	(330)	(49.0-61.0)
15	215	69.7
	(305)	(65.4-73.9)
16+	358	83.3
	(433)	(79.1-87.6)
Total	857	66.5
	(1334)	(63.0-69.9)

	n(N)	Weighted % (95% CI)
Age group		
<16yrs	171	17.9
	(865)	(15.1-20.6)
16+yrs	206	45.3
	(426)	(40.1-50.6)
Gender		
Male	176	28.9
	(686)	(23.6-34.3)
Female	201	28.1
	(705)	(24.5-31.6)
NZdep_band3		
1 (least deprived)	55	32.0
	(189)	(26.1-37.9)
2	86	23.8
	(366)	(20.3-27.3)
3 (most deprived)	154	27.8
	(511)	(23.9-31.8)

Table 6: Past month binge drinking by demographic variables, Māori secondary school students,2019

#### Table 7: Quantity of alcohol usually consumed by gender, Māori current drinkers, 2019

	Male		Female		Total M	āori
	n(N)	Weighted	n(N)	Weighted	n(N)	Weighted %
		%		%		(95% CI)
		(95% CI)		(95% CI)		
1-2 drinks	65	21.1	99	30.7	162	26.0
	(309)	(16.5-25.7)	(392)	(25.5-35.8)	(698)	(22.5-29.4)
3-4 drinks	45	15.3	86	22.1	130	18.7
	(309)	(8.9-21.6)	(392)	(17.9-26.3)	(698)	(15.0-22.5)
5-9 drinks	90	29.8	120	30.0	210	29.9
	(309)	(23.4-36.3)	(392)	(27.0-32.9)	(698)	(26.1-33.7)
10+ drinks	109	33.8	87	17.3	196	25.4
	(309)	(26.1-41.5)	(392)	(12.8-21.7)	(698)	(20-5-30.2)

#### Table 8: Usual sources of alcohol, Māori current drinkers aged under 18 years, 2019

	n(N)	Weighted %*
		(95% CI)
I buy it myself	84	11.8
	(671)	(8.8-14.8)
Friends give it to me	238	36.5
	(671)	(32-41.1)
My brother or sister	136	18.4
gives it to me	(671)	(15.7-21.2)

My parents give it to me	370	57.7
	(671)	(54.5-60.9)
I get it from home	83	14.7
without my parents'	(671)	(11.9-17.6)
permission		
Another adult I know	103	15.8
gives it to me	(671)	(12.7-18.9)
I get someone else to	147	21.6
buy it for me	(671)	(18.1-25.2)
I take or steal it from	19	3.2
somewhere else (not	(671)	(1.9-4.5)
home)		
None of these	59	8.3
	(671)	(6.8-9.8)

\*Note students could choose as many categories as relevant, so percentages do not add up to 100%.

#### Alcohol harm

In 2012 a substantial proportion of current drinkers reported experiencing alcohol harm in the past 12 months. Injuries, having sex without a condom, and doing things that could have got the person in serious trouble were the most prevalent harm indicators for Māori (Table 9). For most indicators, prevalence of harm was higher in Māori than non-Māori (Table 9). Prevalence of harm was higher among Māori girls than Māori boys on many indicators (Table 10). Mean alcohol harm score was higher among Māori than non-Māori, and higher among Māori living in high-deprivation neighbourhoods than Māori living in low-deprivation (wealthier) neighbourhoods (Table 11). Ethnic differences in mean alcohol harm score were largely explained by ethnic differences in drinking patterns. Deprivation independently contributed to ethnic differences, over and above differences in drinking patterns (Table 12). In 2019, based on the categorisation outlined in Table 2, a similar proportion of Māori and non-Māori secondary students were in the 'high risk of alcohol harm' category (25-27%), however a much higher proportion of Māori (22%) than non-Māori (10%) were in the 'very high risk' category (Table 13).

	Māori		Non-Māori	
	n(N)	Weighted % (95% CI)	n(N)	Weighted % (95% CI)
Had friends or family tell you	113	13.1	273	10.1
to cut down your alcohol	(867)	(11.1 – 15.1)	(2746)	(8.6-11.6)
drinking				
Had your performance at	69	7.9	143	5.1
school or work affected	(867)	(6.2-9.6)	(2746)	(4.1-6.2)
Had unsafe sex (no condom)	149	17.8	273	9.8
when you had been drinking	(867)	(15.1-20.6)	(2746)	(8.6-10.9)
alcohol?				
Had unwanted sex when you	54	5.9	114	4.1
had been drinking alcohol?	(867)	(4.5-7.4)	(2746)	(3.3-4.9)

#### Table 9: Prevalence of alcohol harm indicators in past 12 months, current drinkers, 2012

Done things that could have	150	16.6	311	11.2
got you into serious trouble	(867)	(14.3-18.9)	(2746)	(10.1-12.4)
(e.g. stealing, etc.) when you				
had been drinking alcohol?				
Been injured when you had	156	18.2	400	15.4
been drinking alcohol?	(867)	(15.4-21.0)	(2746)	(13.7-17.1)
Been injured and required	46	5.1	63	2.3
treatment by a doctor or	(867)	(3.9-6.3)	(2746)	(1.8-2.9)
nurse when you had been				
drinking alcohol?				
Injured someone else when	67	7.5	98	3.4
you had been drinking	(867)	(6.0-9.1)	(2746)	(2.8-4.1)
alcohol?				
Had a car crash when you	19	2.0	33	1.0
had been drinking alcohol?	(867)	(0.9-3.1)	(2746)	(0.7-1.4)

## Table 10: Prevalence of alcohol harm indicators in past 12 months, Māori current drinkers by gender

	Male		Female	
	n(N)	Weighted % (95% CI)	n(N)	Weighted % (95% CI)
Had friends or family tell you	38	10.7	75	15.4
to cut down your alcohol	(381)	(8.3-13.1)	(486)	(12.5-18.2)
drinking				
Had your performance at	27	6.7	42	9.0
school or work affected	(381)	(4.6-8.8)	(486)	(6.6-11.4)
Had unsafe sex (no condom)	60	16.2	89	19.4
when you had been drinking	(381)	(12.6-19.7)	(486)	(15.2-23.6)
alcohol?				
Had unwanted sex when you	20	4.6	34	7.2
had been drinking alcohol?	(381)	(2.8-6.5)	(486)	(4.6-9.8)
Done things that could have	65	16.0	85	17.2
got you into serious trouble	(381)	(12.4-19.6)	(486)	(13.9-20.5)
(e.g. stealing, etc.) when you				
had been drinking alcohol?				
Been injured when you had	55	14.6	101	21.7
been drinking alcohol?	(381)	(10.5-18.7)	(486)	(17.9-25.5)
Been injured and required	23	5.4	23	4.8
treatment by a doctor or	(381)	(3.6-7.2)	(486)	(2.9-6.7)
nurse when you had been				
drinking alcohol?				
Injured someone else when	32	8.0	35	7.0
you had been drinking	(381)	(5.6-10.5)	(486)	(5.0-9.0)
alcohol?				
Had a car crash when you	11	2.3	8	1.7
had been drinking alcohol?	(381)	(0.6-4.0)	(486)	(0.5-2.9)

	Mean harm score	95% CI
	(weighted)	
Non-Māori, overall	1.65	1.51 – 1.79
Māori, overall	2.61	2.34 – 2.88
Māori - male	2.36	1.97 – 2.75
Māori - female	2.86	2.50 – 3.22
Māori – 13 years and under	2.44	1.56 – 3.32
Māori – 14 years	2.80	2.11 - 3.48
Māori – 15 years	2.56	1.84 - 3.28
Māori – 16 years	2.57	1.98 - 3.16
Māori – 17 years and over	2.61	2.04 - 3.19
Maori – NZDep band 1 (least deprived)	2.02	1.65 – 2.39
Māori – NZ Dep band 2	2.57	2.17 – 2.98
Māori – NZ Dep band 3 (most deprived)	2.95	2.41 - 3.49

#### Table 11: Demographic differences in mean alcohol harm score

#### Table 12: Mediation analysis for ethnic differences in alcohol harm score

	Linear regression estimate	P-value for ethnic difference
Model 1: Difference in harm score	0.960	<.001
between Māori and non-Māori, unadjusted		
Model 2: Adjusted for sex and age	1.025	<.001
Model 3: Adjusted for sex, age, and	0.838	<.001
NZDep2018		
Model 4: Adjusted for sex, age, and	0.195	0.172 (NS)
drinking patterns (frequency of alcohol		
use, typical quantity consumed, frequency		
of past month binge drinking)		
Model 5: Adjusted for sex, age,	0.098	0.490 (NS)
NZDep2018, and drinking patterns		

#### Table 13: Risk of alcohol harm categories, weighted estimates, 2019

	Non-drinker	Small risk	High risk	Very high risk
Māori	43%	8%	27%	22%
	(39.6 – 46.9)	(6.7 – 9.4)	(23.9 – 29.3)	(18.6 – 25.5)
Non-Māori	55%	10%	25%	10%
	(53.2 – 57.1)	(9.4 – 11.2)	(23.4 – 25.9)	(8.6 – 11.2)

#### Risk and protective factors

The majority of rangatahi Māori had a wide range of potential protective factors in their lives in 2019 (Table 14). For most potential protective factors, exposure was slightly higher among non-Māori than Māori. Conversely, for most potential risk factors, exposure was higher among Māori than non-Māori (Table 15). Associations between potential risk and protective factors and high-risk/very-high-risk drinking are shown in Tables 16 and 17.

	Māori		Non-Māori	
	n(N)	Weighted %	n(N)	Weighted %
		(95% CI)		(95% CI)
High parental monitoring	1197	89.0	5317	92.0
	(1325)	(87.1 – 90.8)	(5767)	(90.5 – 93.5)
Good family relationships	945	74.0	4390	77.8
	(1311)	(71.9-76.2)	(5751)	(76.6-79.0)
Get enough quality time with	1146	88.0	5188	91.2
family	(1301)	(86.1-89.9)	(5713)	(90.5-91.9)
Feel safe at home	1191	88.9	5440	94.1
	(1327)	(86.4-91.3)	(5780)	(93.2-95.0)
People at school care	960	73.7	4597	81.1
	(1315)	(70.8-76.6)	(5729)	(80.2-82.1)
Feel safe at school	1098	83.8	5117	88.6
	(1321)	(80.5-87.2)	(5756)	(86.8-90.3)
Sense of belonging at school	1103	82.9	4935	85.7
	(1305)	(80.5-85.4)	(5692)	(84.7-86.6)
Feel safe in the	705	56.3	3313	59.3
neighbourhood	(1262)	(52.8-59.7)	(5675)	(57.8-60.7)
Volunteered in the community	537	47.1	2399	47.2
in the last 12 months	(1127)	(43.0-51.1)	(5482)	(45.7-48.7)
Can speak te reo Māori*	479	23.1	-	-
	(1317)	(20.9-25.3)		
Hope for the future	865	79.9	4646	86.3
	(1103)	(77.9-82.0)	(5462)	(85.4-87.2)
Opportunities to show talents	708	67.6	3625	69.4
	(1075)	(65.1-70.1)	(5407)	(67.9-70.9)

Table 14: Exposure to potential protective factors	, Māori and non-Māori secondary school
students, 2019	

\*The question about te reo Māori ability was only asked of Māori students

#### Table 15: Exposure to risk factors, Māori and non-Māori secondary school students, 2019

	Māori		Non-Māori	
	n(N)	Weighted % (95% Cl)	n(N)	Weighted % (95% CI)
Treated unfairly because of	499	36.8	1397	22.4
ethnicity in past 12 months	(1273)	(33.8-39.8)	(5632)	(21.2-23.6)
Sexual abuse or coercion	270	21.0	936	17.2
	(1252)	(18.3-23.7)	(5627)	(16.0-18.3)

Parents often/always worry	263	16.8	626	10.0
about money for food	(1303)	(13.9-19.6)	(5721)	(9.1-10.9)
Experience of housing	539	39.2	1476	24.7
deprivation, past 12 months	(1330)	(35.6-42.7)	(5782)	(23.5-25.8)
Witnessed adult hit or hurt	151	11.9	405	6.7
another child at home	(1261)	(10.4-13.4)	(5624)	(6.3-7.2)
Been hit or hurt by an adult	176	14.0	561	8.9
at home	(1246)	11.8-16.1)	(5611)	(8.2-9.7)
Witnessed adults at home	136	11.4	276	4.5
hit or hurt each other	(1105)	(9.6-13.2)	(5603)	(4.0-5.0)
Past or present Oranga	207	16.7	379	6.4
Tamariki/CYFS involvement	(1305)	(13.9-19.4)	(5726)	(5.9-7.0)
Lack of support to achieve	255	20.9	1108	20.7
future plans	(1108)	(18.3-23.6)	(5441)	(19.8-21.6)

## Table 16: Association between lack of protective factors and high-risk/very-high-risk drinking,Māori secondary school students, 2019

		Unadjusted Odds Ratio	Adjusted Odds Ratio*	Adjusted Model
		(95% CI)	(95% CI)	P-value
Parental monitoring	High	1.00	1.00	
	Low	2.30	3.30	<0.01
		(1.69 – 3.14)	(2.12 – 5.13)	
There is someone in my family I	Yes	1.00	1.00	
trust to share my feelings with				
	No	1.61	1.63	<0.01
		(1.22-2.12)	(1.17-2.27)	
Enough quality time with family	Yes	1.00	1.00	
	No	2.11	2.16	<0.01
		(1.58-2.81)	(1.43-3.25)	
Whanaungatanga scale (whānau)	High	1.00	1.00	
	Med	0.94	0.86	NS
		(0.70-1.25)	(0.61-1.20)	
	Low	0.68	0.59	<0.01
		(0.52-0.89)	(0.41-0.84)	
Feel safe at home	Yes	1.00	1.00	
	No	1.74	2.66	<0.01
		(1.31-2.32)	(1.91-3.70)	
Whanaungatanga scale (adults outside the whānau)	High	1.00	1.00	
	Med	1.29	1.23	NS
		(0.91-1.84)	(0.81-1.86)	
	Low	1.76	1.85	0.01
		(1.22-2.53)	(1.22-2.81)	
Teachers at school care	Yes	1.00	1.00	
	No	1.49	2.07	< 0.01
		(1.20-1.85)	(1.52-2.81)	
Feel safe at school	Yes	1.00	1.00	
	No	0.90	1.20	NS

		(0.65-1.26)	(0.83, 1.74)	
Sense of belonging at school	Yes	1.00	1.00	
	No	1.62	1.87	<0.01
		(1.16-2.26)	(1.31-2.67)	
Feel safe in the neighbourhood	Yes	1.00	1.00	
	No	1.20	1.28	NS
		(0.97-1.49)	(0.99-1.64)	
Volunteered in the community in	Yes	1.00	1.00	
the last 12 months				
	No	0.79	1.00	NS
		(0.62-0.99)	(0.79-1.27)	
Cultural connectedness scale	High	1.00	1.00	
	Med	0.88	0.98	NS
		(0.69-1.11)	(0.79-1.22)	
	Low	0.66	0.72	NS
		(0.51-0.86)	(0.52-1.01)	
Can speak te reo Māori	Yes	1.00	1.00	
	No	0.97	0.74	0.02
		(0.79-1.20)	(0.57-0.95)	
I think about or make plans for the	Yes	1.00	1.00	
future				
	No	0.76	0.95	NS
		(0.5101.13)	(0.62-1.47)	
See a positive future for self in NZ	Yes	1.00	1.00	
	No	0.97	0.94	NS
		(0.71-1.33)	(0.66-1.35)	
Opportunities to show talents	Yes	1.00	1.00	
	No	0.98	0.89	NS
		(0.70-1.37)	(0.56-1.40)	

\*Adjusted for age, sex and neighbourhood deprivation (NZDep: high, mid, low). NS = no statistically significant association.

Table 17: Association between risk factors and high-risk/very-high-risk drinking, Māori secondary
students, 2019

		Unadjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI)	Adjusted Odds Ratio* (95% CI)	Adjusted Model P-value
Treated unfairly because of ethnicity in past 12 months	No	1.00	1.00	
	Yes	1.66 (1.27-2.17)	2.17 (1.52-3.09)	<0.01
Sexual abuse or coercion	No	1.00	1.00	
	Yes	2.49 (1.63-3.80)	2.44 (1.56-3.81)	<0.01
Parents often/always worry about money for food	No	1.00	1.00	
	Yes	1.60 (1.21-2.11)	1.66 (1.22-2.27)	<0.01

	1			
Experience of housing	No	1.00	1.00	
deprivation, past 12 months				
	Yes	1.23	1.37	NS
		(0.94-1.62)	(0.99-1.90)	
Witnessed adult hit or hurt	No	1.00	1.00	
another child at home				
	Yes	1.31	1.82	0.01
		(0.92-1.88)	(1.14-2.90)	
Been hit or hurt by an adult at	No	1.00	1.00	
home				
	Yes	1.16	1.68	0.01
		(0.81-1.66)	(1.18-2.40)	
Witnessed adults at home hit or	No	1.00	1.00	
hurt each other				
	Yes	1.39	1.66	0.01
		(0.92-2.10)	(1.11-2.47)	
Past or present Oranga	No	1.00	1.00	
Tamariki/CYFS involvement				
	Yes	1.27	1.39	0.05
		(0.93-2.10)	(1.01-1.91)	
		P=0.13		
Lack of support to achieve future	Disagree	1.00	1.00	
plans				
	Agree	1.26	1.67	<0.01
		(0.98-1.62)	(1.26-2.23)	

\*Adjusted for age, sex and neighbourhood deprivation (NZDep: high, mid, low). NS = no statistically significant association

# Appendix A: Derivation of variables, including survey question wording and response options

Outcome Variable	Survey question & response options	Variable definition
Ever/never drunk	We would like to now ask some questions	Ever = 'Yes'
alcohol	about alcohol. By this we mean beer, wine,	Never = 'No'
	spirits, pre-mixed drinks. Have you ever drunk	
	alcohol (not counting a few sips)?	
	Response options: Yes/No	
Current drinker	During the past 4 weeks, about how often did	Current drinker = ever
	you drink alcohol?	drunk alcohol (based on
	Response options:	the question above) AND
	Not at all - I don't drink alcohol now	gave a response to this
	Not in the last 4 weeks	question other than 'Not
	Once in the last 4 weeks	at all – I don't drink now'
	Two or three times in the last 4 weeks	
	About once a week	
	Several times a week	
	Most days	
Drank in past	As above	Based on the response
month		'Once in the last 4 weeks'
		or more often
Drinks weekly or	As above	Based on the response
more often		'About once a week' or
		more often
Past month binge	In the past 4 weeks, how many times did you	Based on the response
drinking	have 5 or more alcoholic drinks in one	'Once in the past 4 weeks'
	session?	or more often
	Response options:	
	None at all	
	Once in the past 4 weeks	
	I wo or three times in the past 4 weeks	
	Everyweek	
Our antitude a second second	Several times a week	
Quantity consumed	How many alconolic drinks do you usually	
	Posponso ontions:	
	1 drink	
	2 drinks	
	3 to 4 drinks	
	5 to 9 drinks	
	10 to 20 drinks	
	More than 20 drinks	
Source of alcohol	When you drink alcohol how do you usually	
	get it?	
	(You may choose as many as you need)	
	Response options:	

	I buy it myself	
	Friends give it to me	
	My brother or sister gives it to me	
	My parents give it to me	
	I got it from home without my parents'	
	r get it nonnhome without my parents	
	permission	
	Another adult I know gives it to me	
	I get someone else to buy it for me	
	I take or steal it from somewhere else (not	
	home)	
	None of these	
Past year alcohol	How many times in the last 12 months have	For each specific type of
harm (2007, 2012)	you	harm, past year harm was
	<ul> <li>had friends or family tell you to cut</li> </ul>	based on the response
	down your alcoholic drinking?	'Once or twice in the last
	<ul> <li>had your performance at school or</li> </ul>	12 months' OB 'Three or
	work affected by your alcohol uso?	more times in the last 12
	work affected by your alconor user	months '
	<ul> <li>nad unsate sex (no condom) when you had been drinking alcohol?</li> </ul>	montris.
	had unwanted sex when you had been drinking alaphal2	Note that 'been injured'
		required treatment by a
	<ul> <li>done things that could have got you</li> </ul>	dector or purse' were not
	into serious trouble (e.g. stealing, etc.)	mutually evolusive
	when you had been drinking alcohol?	mutually exclusive
	<ul> <li>been injured when you had been</li> </ul>	categories.
	drinking alcohol?	
	<ul> <li>been injured and required treatment</li> </ul>	
	by a doctor or nurse when you had	
	been drinking alcohol?	
	<ul> <li>injured someone else when you had</li> </ul>	
	heen drinking alcohol?	
	<ul> <li>bad a car grash when you had been</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Indu a car crash when you had been drinking clockel?</li> </ul>	
	Response options:	
	Never	
	Not in the last 12 months	
	Once or twice in the last 12 months	
	Three or more times in the last 12 months	
Alcohol harm score	Based on the 9 items above	Items were scored 0 for
(2012)		'never', 1 for 'Not in the
		last 12 months', 2 for
		'Once or twice in the last
		12 months' and 3 for
		'Three or more times in
		the last 12 months'
		Scores were added to
		derive an alcohol harm
		score (range $0.27$ )
Risk of alcohol	Alcohol harm data was not available in 2010	Four categories:
harm (2010)	Instead we used data on 1) frequency of	1) Non drinker
naini (2019)	alcohol uso 2) quantity consumed and 2)	1) NON-UTITIKET
1	alconor use, 27 quantity consumed, and 37	

frequency of binge drinking (see survey	<ol><li>High risk of harm</li></ol>
questions above) to derive risk of alcohol	<ol><li>Very high risk of</li></ol>
harm.	harm
	The criteria for these
	categories are set out in
	Table 2 (p10).

Dama a succession	Company and atting 0	
Variable	Survey question &	
Variable		Assures around in two ways, 12 and under
Age	How old are your	Age was grouped in two ways. 13 and under,
	Response options:	14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and over, or binarised (under
	Under 12, 12, 13, 14, 15,	16, 16 and over).
	10, 17, 18, 19, Over 19	Note that is 2010 each 25 students were and
	years	Note that in 2019 only 25 students were aged
		under 13 years, and 24 were aged over 18. Over
		99% of the sample were aged 13-18 years.
Caralas	(2010)	About 96% were aged under 18 years.
Gender	(2019)	I identify another way was treated as 'missing'.
	How do you describe	
	yourself?	(Note that further analyses are underway
	Answer options:	investigating alconol use and harm in Rainbow
	I am a boy or a man	youth, including those who do not identify as
	I am a giri or a woman	male or female).
	I identify in another way	
	(2007, 2012)	
	(2007, 2012) What cay are you?	
	Response ontions:	
	Response options.	
	Fomale	
School decile		School decile is a school-level measure of the
School deche	17.4	socio-economic position of the student
		community, relative to other schools in New
		Zealand decile 1 schools are the 10% of schools
		with the highest proportion of students from
		low socio-economic communities. Deciles are
		based on Census data on household income
		occupational skill level of employed parents
		household crowding percentage of parents
		with no qualifications, percentage of parents
		receiving income support benefits. For details of
		how school deciles are calculated see:
		https://www.education.govt.nz/school/funding-
		and-financials/resourcing/operational-
		funding/school-decile-ratings/
Deprivation (NZ	N/A	Deprivation is based on the student's home
Dep 2018)	, ·	address and corresponding NZ Deprivation
, ,		Index categorisation for that mesh block. The
		NZ Deprivation Index is based on 9 Census
		measures. Further details are available
		elsewere. <sup>27</sup>

Ethnicity	Which ethnic group do you belong to? (You may choose as many as you need)	Respondents were categorised into one of five ethnic groups using the Ministry of Health prioritisation method: Māori> Pacific> Asian> Other> European.
	167 response options	Those with multiple ethnicities were assigned to the group with the highest priority, e.g. those identifying as Māori and Pacific were classified Māori, those identifying as Pacific and Asian were classified as Pacific. The European group included NZ European and other European (e.g. French, Croatian).

Risk/protective factor	Survey question & response options	
Parental monitoring	Does your family want to know who you are	High = 'Always' or
	with and where you are?	'Usually'
	Response options:	Low= 'Sometimes' or
	Always	'Almost never'
	Usually	
	Sometimes	
	Almost never	
Someone in whānau I	There is someone in family/whānau who I	Yes = 'Strongly agree'
trust to share feelings	trust to share my feelings with.	or 'Agree'
	Response options:	No = 'Neutral'
	Strongly agree	'Disagree' or 'Strongly
	Agree	disagree'
	Neutral	
	Disagree	
	Strongly disagree	
Enough quality time	I feel like I get enough quality time with my	Yes = 'Strongly agree'
with family	family/whānau.	or 'Agree'
	Response options:	No = 'Neutral'
	Strongly agree	'Disagree' or 'Strongly
	Agree	disagree'
	Neutral	
	Disagree	
	Strongly disagree	
Feel safe at home	Do you feel safe at home, or the place you	Yes = All/most of the
	live?	time
	Response options:	
	Yes, all the time	No = Sometimes, No
	Yes, most of the time	mostly not, or Not at all
	Sometimes	
	No, mostly not	
	Not at all	
Whanaungatanga	There is someone in my family/whānau who I	Strongly agree = 4
scale (whānau	can trust to share my feelings with	Agree = 3,
subscale) – 9 items	There is someone in my family/whānau who I	Neutral = 2
	can talk with about things that are worrying	Disagree = 1
	me	Strongly disagree = 0

Teachers at school	There is someone in my family/whānau who respects what is important to me There is someone in my family/whānau who accepts me for who I am There is someone in my family/whānau who I have a close bond with There is someone in my family/whānau who will stick up for me and who has 'got my back' There is someone in my family/whānau who I can have fun with, who makes me laugh My family/whānau are proud and supportive of me participating in cultural, sporting and academic activities (e.g. my whānau attend my competitions, help fundraise, coach) I feel like I get enough quality time with my family/whānau Response options: Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly disagree	I don't understand = NA Item scores were added to give a total score (range 0-36, mean 29.3). Scores were divided into tertiles: High, Medium, and Low.
Teachers at school care	Do you feel that teachers/tutors care about you? Response options: Yes No Doesn't apply	Yes = Yes No = No/Doesn't apply
Feel safe at school	Do you feel safe in your school/course? Response options: Yes, all the time Yes, most of the time Sometimes No, mostly not Not at all	Yes = All/Most of the time No = Sometimes, No mostly not, Not at all
Sense of belonging at school	Do you feel like you are part of your school, alternative education or course? Response options: Yes No	Yes/No
Feel safe in neighbourhood	Do you feel safe in your neighbourhood? Response options: All the time Sometimes Not often Never	Yes = 1 (All the time) No = 2,3,4 (Sometimes, not often, never)
Whanaungatanga scale (adults outside the whānau subscale) – 9 items	There is an adult outside of my family/whānau who I can trust to share my feelings with There is an adult outside of my family/whānau who I can talk with about things that are worrying me	Strongly agree = 4 Agree = 3, Neural = 2 Disagree = 1 Strongly disagree = 0

	There is an adult outside of my family/whānay	I don't understand - NA
	who understands what is important to mo	Itom scoros woro
	There is an adult outside of my family/whānau	added to give a total
	who accounts mo for who Lam	
	There is an adult outside of mu family (whānau	score (range 0-36,
	There is an adult outside of my family/whanau	mean 24.9).
	who I have a close bond with $\frac{1}{2}$	
	I nere is an adult outside of my family/whanau	Scores were divided
	who will stick up for me and who has 'got my	into tertiles: High,
	back	Medium, and Low.
	There is an adult outside of my family/whanau	
	who I have fun with, who makes me laugh	
	There is somewhere safe I can go and stay,	
	other than with my family/whānau (e.g. a	
	friend's home, church members home,	
	coaches home etc.)	
	There is a place where I can go where I feel I	
	belong with people who support me (e.g.	
	community groups, kapa haka, clubs, church,	
	rainbow diversity groups, activism groups)	
	Response options:	
	Strongly agree	
	Agree	
	Neutral	
	Disagree	
	Strongly disagree	
Volunteered in past 12	Do you give your time to help others in your	Yes = Yes, within the
months	school or community (e.g. as a peer supporter	last 12 months
	at school, help out on the Marae or church,	No= Yes, but not within
	help coach a team or belong to a volunteer	the last 12 months/No/
	organisation)?	l don't know
	Response options:	
	Yes, within the last 12 months	
	Yes, but not within the last 12 months	
	No	
	I don't know	
Speak te reo Māori	(Only asked of students who identified as	Yes = well/very
	Māori)	well/fairly well
	How well are you able to speak the Maori	No = not very well/ not
	language in day-to-day conversation? By this	more than a few words
	we mean more than a few words or phrases.	or phrases
	Response options:	
	Very well	
	Well	
	Fairly well	
	Not very well	
	No more than a few words or phrases	
Cultural	L feel comfortable in Māori social	Strongly agree = 4
connectedness scale	surroundings, events or gatherings (e.g. hui	Agree = $3$ .
(10 items)	sports etc.)	Neural = 2
()		Disagree = 1

	I feel comfortable in formal Māori social	Strongly disagree = 0
	surroundings, events or gatherings (e.g. tangi,	I don't understand = NA
	speechmaking or whaikorero, etc.)	Item scores were
	When I hear, understand, learn or speak te	added to give a total
	reo Māori, it gives me a sense of belonging	score (range 0-40,
	It is important to me that others respect and	mean 9.6).
	value our status as tangata whenua	
	When I participate in activities like kapa haka,	Scores were divided
	waka ama, sports, wananga and other	into tertiles: High.
	activities with Māori friends and whānau. I	Medium, and Low
	feel a sense of pride	cultural connectedness.
	Lam proud to be Māori	
	Māori values are important to me (things like	
	generosity kindness being a good host	
	manaakitanga tika nono and aroha)	
	I believe it is important to be kaitiaki to	
	protect our environment for future	
	generations (e.g. keen our sea clean so we	
	son swim and safely collect soafood to got in	
	the future)	
	the future)	
	Thave a strong spiritual connection and sense	
	of belonging to certain or places (e.g.	
	mountain, river, sea etc.)	
	I often feel the presence of my tipuna or	
	tupuna (my ancestors and my whanau who	
	have died)	
	Response options:	
	Strongly disagree	
	Disagree	
	Neutral	
	Agree	
	Strongly agree	
Plan for the future	I think about or make plans for my future.	Yes = Agree/Strongly
	Response options:	agree
	Strongly agree	No = Neutral/
	Agree	Disagree/Strongly
	Neutral	disagree
	Disagree	
	Strongly disagree	
Opportunities to show	I get opportunities to show my talents and	Yes = Agree/Strongly
talents	skills	agree
	Response options:	No = Neutral/
	Strongly agree	Disagree/Strongly
	Agree	disagree
	Neutral	
	Disagree	
	Strongly disagree	
Adults hit or hurt you	In the last 12 months have adults in your	Yes/No
at home	home hit or physically hurt you?	

	Yes	
	No	
Witnessed violence to	In the last 12 months have adults in your	Yes/No
another child at home	home hit or physically hurt a child (other than	
	yourself)?	
	Yes	
	No	
Witnessed violence	In the last 12 months have adults in your	Yes/No
between adults at	home hit or physically hurt each other?	
home	Yes	
	No	
Past or present	Have you ever been involved with Oranga	Yes/No
OT/CYFS involvement	Tamariki (OT) or Child, Youth and Family	
	Services (CYFS)? E.g. someone was worried	
	about your safety or protection.	
	Yes	
	No	
Experience of sexual	Have you ever been touched in a sexual way	Yes = Yes or Not sure
abuse or coercion	or made to do sexual things that you didn't	No = No
	want to do? (including sexual abuse or rape)	
	Yes	
	No	
	Not sure	
Parents worry about	Do your parents, or the people who act as	Yes = Often, All the
money for food	your parents, ever worry about not having	time
	enough money to buy food?	No = Never,
	Never	Occasionally,
	Occasionally	Sometimes, I don't
	Sometimes	know
	Often	
	All the time	
	I don't know	
Lack of support to	I don't feel that I will get the support I need to	Yes = Agree/Strongly
achieve plans for the	achieve my plans for the future	agree
future	Response options:	No = Neutral/Disagree
	Strongly agree	/Strongly disagree
	Neutral	
	Disagree	
	Strongly disagree	
Youth voice (open text	What do you think are the higgest problems	
auestions)	for young people today?	
questions	What do you think should be changed to	
	support young people in New Zealand better?	
1	j support young people in New Lealand Deller!	

## Appendix B: Flow diagram for 'Risk of alcohol harm' categorisation



#### Step 1: Non-drinker categorisation

#### Step 2: Very high risk of harm categorisation, age 16 and over





#### Step 3: High risk of harm categorisation, age 16 and over

### References

- 1. Clark T, Robinson E, Crengle S, et al. Binge drinking among Māori secondary school students in New Zealand: associations with source, exposure and perceptions of alcohol use *New Zealand Medical Journal* 2013;126(1370)
- 2. Muriwai E, Huckle T, Romeo JS. Māori attitudes and behaviours towards alcohol. Wellington: Health Promotion Agency, 2018.
- Walker K. Issues of tobacco, alcohol and other substance abuse for Māori. Report commissioned by the Waitangi Tribunal for Stage 2 of the Health Services and Outcomes Kaupapa Inquiry (Wai 2575). 2019
- 4. Greaves LM, Grice JL, Schwencke A, et al. Measuring whanaungatanga and identity for well-being in rangatahi Māori. *Mai Journal* 2021;10(2):93-105. doi: 10.20507/MAIJournal.2021.10.2.3
- 5. Health Promotion Agency. Understanding alcohol use and subsequent harms in young people. An evidence summary. Wellington: Health Promotion Agency, 2020.
- 6. National Health and Medical Council. Australian Guidelines to Reduce Health Risks from Drinking Alcohol. Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia, 2020.
- 7. Gluckman P. Youth suicide in New Zealand: A discussion paper. Wellington: Office of the Prime Minister's Chief Science Advisor, 2017.
- 8. de Goede J, van der Mark-Reeuwijk KG, Braun KP, et al. Alcohol and Brain Development in Adolescents and Young Adults: A Systematic Review of the Literature and Advisory Report of the Health Council of the Netherlands. *Adv Nutr* 2021;12(4):1379-410. doi: 10.1093/advances/nmaa170 [published Online First: 2021/02/03]
- Newton-Howes G, Cook S, Martin G, et al. Comparison of age of first drink and age of first intoxication as predictors of substance use and mental health problems in adulthood. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2019;194:238-43. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2018.10.012 [published Online First: 2018/11/23]
- 10. Reid P, Robson B. Understanding Health Inequities. In: Robson B, Harris R, eds. Hauora: Māori standards of health IV. Wellington: Te Rōpū Rangahau Hauora a Eru Pōmare 2007.
- Simon-Kumar R, Lewycka S, Clark TC, et al. Flexible resources and experiences of racism among a multi-ethnic adolescent population in Aotearoa, New Zealand: an intersectional analysis of health and socioeconomic inequities using survey data. *The Lancet* 2022;400(10358):1130-43. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(22)01537-9
- 12. Hutt M, Andrews P. Māori and alcohol: A history. Wellington: Health Services Research Centre/ALAC, 1999.
- 13. Moustafa AA, Parkes D, Fitzgerald L, et al. The relationship between childhood trauma, early-life stress, and alcohol and drug use, abuse, and addiction: An integrative review. *Current Psychology* 2018;40(2):579-84. doi: 10.1007/s12144-018-9973-9
- 14. Cameron MPC, W., Livingston M. The relationship between alcohol outlets and harms: A spatial panel analysis for New Zealand, 2007-2014. Wellington: Health Promotion Agency, 2017.
- 15. Crampton P. Oh my. *New Zealand Medical Journal* 2020;133(1524):8-10.
- 16. Chambers T, Stanley J, Signal L, et al. Quantifying the Nature and Extent of Children's Real-time Exposure to Alcohol Marketing in Their Everyday Lives Using Wearable Cameras: Children's Exposure via a Range of Media in a Range of Key Places. *Alcohol Alcohol* 2018;53(5):626-33. doi: 10.1093/alcalc/agy053 [published Online First: 2018/07/28]
- 17. Fleming T, Peiris-John R, Crengle S, et al. Youth19 Rangatahi Smart Survey, Initial Findings: Introduction and Methods. New Zealand: The Youth19 Research Group, The University of Auckland and Victoria University of Wellington, 2020.

- Rivera-Rodriguez C, Clark T, Fleming T, et al. National estimates from the Youth '19 Rangatahi smart survey: A survey calibration approach. *PLoS One* 2021;16(5):e0251177. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0251177 [published Online First: 2021/05/15]
- 19. Adolescent Health Research Group. The health and wellbeing of New Zealand secondary school students in 2012: Youth'12 prevalence tables: University of Auckland, 2013.
- 20. Adolescent Health Research Group. Youth'07: The Health and Wellbeing of Secondary School Students in New Zealand. Technical report. . Auckland, New Zealand: The University of Auckland, 2008.
- 21. Ball J, Zhang J, Kim A, et al. Addressing Alcohol Harm in Adolescents. Technical Report 1: Methods and overview of findings. Wellington: University of Otago, 2022.
- 22. Clark T, Smith J, Raphael D, et al. Kicked out ofschool and suffering: The health needs of alternative education youth in New Zealand. *Youth Studies Australia* 2010;29 doi: 10.3316/ielapa.568511567672961
- 23. Ministry of Education. Education Counts 2022 [Available from: <u>https://www.educationcounts.govt.nz/home</u> accessed 10 Nov 2022.
- 24. Ministry of Social Development. What about me? The national youth health and wellbeing survey 2021. Wellington: New Zealand Government, 2022.
- 25. Ministry of Health. *New Zealand Health Survey Annual Data Explorer* Ministry of Health; 2021 [Available from: <u>https://minhealthnz.shinyapps.io/nz-health-survey-2020-21-annual-data-explorer/\_w\_686ed0d9/#!/home</u> accessed 26 July 2022.
- 26. McManama O'Brien KH, Becker SJ, Spirito A, et al. Differentiating adolescent suicide attempters from ideators: examining the interaction between depression severity and alcohol use. *Suicide Life Threat Behav* 2014;44(1):23-33. doi: 10.1111/sltb.12050 [published Online First: 2013/07/31]
- 27. Atkinson J, Salmond C, Crampton P. NZDep2018 Index of Deprivation, Interim Research Report. Wellington: University of Otago, 2019.